

## Performance-Based Decision Making for Asset Management: Lessons Learned and Practitioner Toolkit

October 2021





## **Table of contents**

1.	Introduction1			
	1.1	Study background and need	1	
	1.2	Study objectives	2	
	1.3	Study methods	3	
	1.4	Report organization	5	
Part A	A: Techni	cal knowledge base	7	
A.1	Fundamentals of performance-based decision making			
	A.1.1	What is performance-based decision making?	9	
	A.1.2	Elements of performance-based decision making	9	
	A.1.3	Benefits and challenges of performance-based decision making	15	
A.2	Asset management and performance-based decision making			
	A.2.1	Application of performance-based decision making for asset management	17	
	A.2.2	Performance measures for asset management		
	A.2.3	Issues in performance-based asset management	20	
	A.2.4	Optimization methods in asset management for resource allocation	26	
A.3	Implementation of performance-based decision making for asset management			
	A.3.1	State of practice in Canada	35	
	A.3.2	Case studies	46	
	A.3.3	State of practice in the United States	64	
A.4	Lessons learned			
	A.4.1	Fundamentals of performance-based decision making	67	
	A.4.2	Asset management and performance-based decision making	68	
	A.4.3	Optimization methods in asset management	70	
	A.4.4	Implementation of performance-based decision making for asset management	t 71	
Part	B: Practit	ioner toolkit	75	
B.1	Data management tools			
	B.1.1	Data governance	83	
	B.1.2	Quality assurance		
	B.1.3	Data collection planning		
	B.1.4	Data warehousing, storage and access	95	
	B.1.5	GIS tools	100	



B.2	Analysis and evaluation tools		103
	B.2.1	Life-cycle cost analysis	105
	B.2.2	Present worth	107
	B.2.3	Internal rate of return on investment	109
	B.2.4	Incremental benefit-cost and cost-effectiveness	110
	B.2.5	Risk assessment and risk management	112
	B.2.6	Cross-asset optimization	115
	B.2.7	Multi-objective optimization	118
B.3	Communication tools		121
	B.3.1	Dashboards	
	B.3.2	Report cards	125
Biblio	graphy		127
Appe	ndix A – Sı	urvey questionnaire	135



## **List of figures**

Figure 1: Performance management framework	11
Figure 2: Extent of use of asset management programs	36
Figure 3: Agency satisfaction with asset management practices and procedures	37
Figure 4: Average satisfaction by attribute for each asset class	39
Figure 5: Combination of asset classes in cross-asset programs	41
Figure 6: Optimization techniques used for managing assets	42
Figure 7: Level of satisfaction with asset management optimization techniques	43
Figure 8: Level of impact each performance objective has on asset management decisions	44
Figure 9: How beneficial different tools are for performance-based decision making	45
Figure 10: York Region	48
Figure 11: Condition grade of York Region assets	52
Figure 12: Key elements of TransLink's Corporate Asset Management Strategy	59
Figure 13: Asset management process for state of good repair	60
Figure 14: Pavement preservation treatment toolbox	62
Figure 15: Annual maintenance planning cycle business process	63
Figure 16: Practitioner toolkit framework	79
Figure 17: Hierarchical data governance structure	85
Figure 18: Example template for a transportation agency's data domains and sub-domains	86
Figure 19: Components of quality assurance	88
Figure 20: Elements of a data collection plan	93
Figure 21: Schematic of common data warehousing architecture	96

October 2021 xi



## **List of tables**

Table 1: Agencies responding to the online survey	4
Table 2: Agencies selected for interviews	5
Table 3: Activities and issues for benchmarking – Asset management and transit agency performar	nce . 14
Table 4: Benefits and associated challenges of performance-based decision making	15
Table 5: Multi-objective optimization methods applicable to transportation asset management	31
Table 6: Non-traditional or intangible asset classes included in asset management programs	40
Table 7: Tools used to support asset management programs	41
Table 8: Performance measure types measured by agencies	44
Table 9: Service areas in York Region's corporate asset management plan	48
Table 10: York Region's condition grading system	51
Table 11: Performance of roads service area by regional criteria	52
Table 12: TransLink's components of corporate priorities for 2019	58
Table 13: Core data principles	84
Table 14: Principles for managing information in performance-based transportation programs	8
Table 15: Data quality quick-assessment checklist	89
Table 16: Comparison of in-house and commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) database tools	99